Application Number: 14/0150

Erection of two storey link extension to connect existing rest homes at 4 Carlin Gate and 4 St Stephen's Avenue to form an additional lounge and 10 bedrooms, and erection of two semi-detached, two-storey dwellinghouses with associated vehicle access, parking and landscaping to rear for use by rest homes following demolition of 6-8 Carlin Gate at St. Stephen's Rest Home, 4 St. Stephen's Avenue and 4-8 Carlin Gate.

Decision: Refuse

Reasons:

1. The proposed extensions and alterations, linking 4 Carlin Gate and 4 St. Stephens Avenue and extending in to residential gardens at the rear of 6-8 Carlin Gate would constitute an over-development of the plots and would have a significantly detrimental impact on the residential amenities of adjoining occupants and the visual amenities and character of the wider area by virtue of their size, scale, massing, close proximity to the boundaries and fenestration resulting in, overlooking, visual intrusion and a development which is overly intensive and out of character within a residential setting. The proposed link extension would also be detrimental to future occupants by virtue of the proximity of windows to boundary walls resulting in lack of natural light and lack of outlook and given the high number of existing dementia beds in the immediate vicinity, the applicant has failed to demonstrate that there is a locally generated demand for additional dementia beds in the Bispham area.

The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policies LQ1, LQ14, BH3 and BH24 of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016.

2. The proposal would create an inadequate vehicle access off Carlin Gate to substandard parking facilities to the rear of 6-8 Carlin Gate which would result in vehicle conflict, leading to vehicles having to reverse out of Carlin Gate and around tight corners with poor visibility. This would be contrary to highway safety and the free flow of traffic within the site. Furthermore the under-provision of useable parking spaces would lead to additional on street parking within the vicinity of the site which would lead to congestion and impede the free flow of traffic and would be detrimental to residential and visual amenity.

The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policies LQ1 and AS1 of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016.

3. It has not been demonstrated that 6-8 Carlin Gate could not be brought back into viable use and the demolition of 6-8 Carlin Gate is therefore unsustainable.

The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policy LQ8 of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016.

4. ARTICLE 31 STATEMENT (NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK para 187)

The Local Planning Authority has sought to secure a sustainable development that would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of Blackpool but in this case there are considered factors - conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework and policies of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016 - which justify refusal and which cannot be overcome by negotiation.

Application Number: 14/0333

Infill of basement area and erection of single storey front extension, erection of three storey rear extensions and use of part of basement as museum and ancillary use of hotel dining room as tea room open to the general public at 7-9 General Street.

Decision: Refuse

Reasons:

1. The proposed single storey front extension, by virtue of its height, width, design and extent of projection forward of the building line, would be overly large and dominant and out-of-keeping with the character of the host property and the immediate area. As such it would have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the property and the quality of the streetscene. As such the proposal would be contrary to Policies LQ1 and LQ14 of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016.

2. ARTICLE 31 STATEMENT (NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK para 187)

The Local Planning Authority has sought to secure a sustainable development that would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of Blackpool but in this case there are considered factors - conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework and policies of the Blackpool Local Plan 2001-2016 - which justify refusal.